Better typing errors for OCaml

Arthur Charguéraud

Inria

Overview

State of the art

- Dozens of research papers on reporting type errors in ML...
- ... none of these ideas ever reached the OCaml compiler!

Motivation

- Get OCaml to produce better error messages, for beginners...
- ... and maybe for you, too!

Result

• A patch to the type-checker, providing alternative error messages for ill-typed top-level definitions.

Missing unit argument

let x = read_int in (* missing unit argument *)
print_int x

ocamlc

File "examples/example_missing_unit_readint.ml", line 2, characters 10-11: Error: This expression has type unit -> int but an expression was expected of type int.

ocamlc -easy

```
You probably forgot to provide `()' as argument somewhere.
```

If reaching a unification error between type unit -> ?t and ?u, then
report You probably forgot to provide `()' as argument somewhere.

Missing bang

let r = ref 1 in
print_int r (* should be [!r] *)

ocamlc

File "examples/example_ref_missing_bang.ml", line 2, characters 10-11: Error: This expression has type int ref but an expression was expected of type int.

ocamlc -easy

You probably forgot a `!' operator somewhere.

If reaching a unification error between type ?t ref and ?u, then

report You probably forgot a `!' operator somewhere.

5

Missing rec

```
let facto n = (* missing [rec] *)
if n = 0 then 1 else n * facto (n-1)
```

ocamlc

```
File "examples/example_let_missing_rec.ml", line 2, characters 28-33:
Error: Unbound value facto
```

ocamlc -easy

```
File "examples/example_let_missing_rec.ml", line 2, characters 28-33:
Error: Unbound value facto.
```

```
You are probably missing the `rec' keyword on line 1.
```

Check whether the unbound variable would have been in the scope if it had been bound by a let rec instead of a let.

6

Missing else branch

let ordered_list_with x y =
 if x <= y then [x;y]
 else if x > y then [y;x]

ocamlc

File "examples/example_missing_else.ml", line 3, characters 23-27: Error: This variant expression is expected to have type unit The constructor :: does not belong to type unit

ocamlc -easy

File "examples/example_missing_else.ml", line 3, characters 22-27: Error: This expression is the result of a conditional with no else branch, so it should have type [unit] but it has type ['a list].

If a subterm of a particular language construct does not have the expected type, then explain why this type is expected.

Reducing the left-to-right bias

let f b =
 if b then 0 else 3.14 (* should have been 0. *)

ocamlc

ocamlc -easy

File "examples/example_incompatible_else.ml", line 2, characters 2-23: Error: The then-branch has type [int] but the else-branch has type [float]. Cannot unify type [int] with type [float].

To type-check a conditional or a pattern matching, first type-check each branch independently, then unify the branch types one by one.

Remaining left-to-right bias

let f b x =
 if b
 then print_int x
 else print_float x

ocamlc

File "examples/example_if_propagate.ml", line 5, characters 21-22: Error: This expression has type int but an expression was expected of type float.

ocamlc -easy

Unification may still perform side-effects accross branches; yet, the error typically involves a free variable, which often is to blame.



Errors for ill-typed applications

let _ =
 ignore (Array.make 0.0 20)

ocamlc

ocamlc -easy

If an application fails to type-check, locate the error on the entire application and display: function `foo' expects arguments of type [bla] and [bla], but it is given arguments of type [bla] and [bla].

Confusion on arithmetic operators

let _ =
 print_float (2.0 + 3.0) (* should be [+.] instead of [+] *)

ocamlc

ocamlc -easy

Errors are no longer reported at a location ahead of the actual error.

Missing parentheses on a negation

let _ = succ -1

(* missing parentheses around [-1] *)

ocamlc

ocamlc -easy

The new error makes it clear that `-' is parsed as a binary operator.



Errors on higher-order function calls

```
let _ = List.map (fun x -> x + 1) [2.0; 3.0]
(* should have been [+.] instead of [+], or
      should have been [2;3] instead of [2.0;3.0] *)
```

ocamlc

ocamlc -easy

```
File "examples/example_map_bad.ml", line 1, characters 8-16:
Error: The function `List.map' expects 2 arguments of types ['a -> 'b]
and ['a list], but it is given 2 arguments of types [int -> int]
and [float list].
```

The new error explains the type of the anonymous function involved.

Occur-check errors

let rev_filter f l =
 List.fold_left (fun x acc -> if f x then x::acc else acc) [] [1; 2; 3]
 (* swapped the parameters of the higher-order function *)

ocamlc

```
The type variable 'a occurs inside 'a list
```

ocamlc -easy

```
File "examples/example_fold_left_swap_app_2.ml", line 2, characters 2-16:
Error: The function `List.fold_left' expects 3 arguments of types
    ['a -> 'b -> 'a] and ['a] and ['b list],
    but it is given 3 arguments of types ['c -> 'c list -> 'c list]
        and ['d list] and [int list].
```

Summary

- Custom messages for missing `()' and `!' and `rec'.
- Custom messages for subterms of particular constructs.
- Decreased left-to-right bias for `if', `match', and function calls.
- No reporting of errors before their actual locations (binary operators).
- Support for optional and named arguments in function calls.
- No change to errors on top-level definitions involving GADTs.
- No change to module type-checking.

Give it a try!

https://github.com/charguer/ocaml

