

# Pretty-big-step semantics

Arthur Charguéraud

INRIA

CNAM, January 2013

# Motivation

## Formalization of the semantics of JavaScript in Coq

→ with Martin Bodin, Daniele Filaretti, Philippa Gardner, Sergio Maffeis, Daiva Naudziuniene, Alan Schmitt, Gareth Smith

# Motivation

## Formalization of the semantics of JavaScript in Coq

→ with Martin Bodin, Daniele Filaretti, Philippa Gardner, Sergio Maffei, Daiva Naudziuniene, Alan Schmitt, Gareth Smith

Previous work:

- Semi-formal small-step semantics for the entire language (jssec.net)
- Informal big-step semantics for the core language (POPL'12)

Current work:

- Formal big-step-style semantics for the entire language
- Interpreter proved correct w.r.t. the semantics

# Motivation for big-step

Big-step semantics:

- more faithful to the reference manual
- easier than small-step for proving an interpreter
- easier than small-step for proving a program logic

# Motivation for big-step

Big-step semantics:

- more faithful to the reference manual
- easier than small-step for proving an interpreter
- easier than small-step for proving a program logic

Small-step semantics considered better-suited for:

- machine-code semantics → not the case of JS
- type soundness proofs → no types in JS
- concurrent languages → no concurrency in JS

# Big-step semantics for loops

Semantics of a C-style loop “for ( ;  $t_1$  ;  $t_2$  ) {  $t_3$  }”, written “for  $t_1 t_2 t_3$ ”, in terms of the evaluation judgment  $t/m \Rightarrow v/m'$ .

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{false}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow tt/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow tt/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow tt/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow tt/m_5}$$

# Big-step semantics for loops: exceptions

Exceptions in terms of the judgment  $t/m \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m'$ .

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow tt/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}$$

# Big-step semantics for loops: divergence

Divergence in terms of the coinductive judgment  $t/m \Rightarrow^\infty$  (Leroy 2006).

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^\infty}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^\infty}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^\infty}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^\infty}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow \text{tt}/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^\infty}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^\infty}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow \text{tt}/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow \text{tt}/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^\infty}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^\infty}$$



# Big-step semantics for loops: summary

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{false}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow t/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow t/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow t/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow t/m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow t/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow t/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

# Big-step semantics for loops: summary

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{false}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow t/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow t/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow t/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow t/m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow t/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow t/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow t/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

→ Even with factorization: 9 rules, 21 premises.

# Big-step semantics for loops: summary

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{false}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow \# /m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow \# /m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow \# /m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow \# /m_5}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow \# /m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow \# /m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow \# /m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow \# /m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow \# /m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow \# /m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow \# /m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}$$

→ Even with factorization: 9 rules, 21 premises.

→ With pretty-big-step: 6 rules, 7 premises.

# In this talk

- Construction of a pretty-big-step semantics
- Extension with traces
- Applications to core-Caml and JavaScript

## Construction of a pretty-big-step semantics

# Big-step semantics

Grammar of  $\lambda$ -terms

$$\begin{aligned}v &:= \text{int } n \mid \text{abs } x t \\t &:= \text{val } v \mid \text{var } x \mid \text{app } t t\end{aligned}$$

Call-by-value big-step semantics ( $t \Rightarrow v$ )

$$\frac{}{v \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{abs } x t \quad t_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \rightarrow v]t \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

## A first attempt

Big-step rule for applications:

$$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{abs } x t \quad t_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \rightarrow v] t \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

A first attempt at pretty-big-step rules:

$$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad \text{app } v_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'} \quad \frac{t_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \quad \text{app } v_1 v_2 \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } v_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'} \quad \frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } (\text{abs } x t) v \Rightarrow v'}$$

→ Similar idea in Cousot and Cousot's bi-inductive semantics (2007)

## Intermediate terms

To prevent overlap between the rules, we use intermediate terms:

$$e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } vt \mid \text{app2 } vv$$

Definition of the judgment  $e \Downarrow v$ , with  $\text{trm}$  implicit

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \qquad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow v'}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow v'}$$
$$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 v_2 \Downarrow v'}{\text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow v'} \qquad \frac{[x \rightarrow v]t \Downarrow v'}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } xt)v \Downarrow v'}$$



# Adding exceptions

Value-carrying exceptions and exception handlers

$$t := \dots \mid \text{raise } t \mid \text{try } t t$$

Two behaviors: return a value or throw an exception

$$e \Downarrow b \qquad b := \text{ret } v \mid \text{exn } v$$

# Generalization of intermediate terms

Need to generalize intermediate terms

$$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{app1 } b_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{app1 (exn } v) t_2 \Downarrow \text{exn } v} \quad \frac{t_2 \Downarrow b_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 b_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app1 (ret } v_1) t_2 \Downarrow b}$$

New grammar of intermediate terms

$$e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } b t \mid \text{app2 } v b \mid \text{raise1 } b \mid \text{try1 } b t$$

# Pretty-big-step rules for exceptions

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \qquad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{app1 } b_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow b} \qquad \frac{}{\text{app1 } (\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

$$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow b_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 b_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow b} \qquad \frac{}{\text{app2 } v (\text{exn } v) \Downarrow \text{exn } v} \qquad \frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Downarrow b}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } x t) v \Downarrow b}$$

# Pretty-big-step rules for exceptions

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \qquad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{app1 } b_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow b} \qquad \frac{}{\text{app1 } (\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

$$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow b_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 b_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow b} \qquad \frac{}{\text{app2 } v (\text{exn } v) \Downarrow \text{exn } v} \qquad \frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Downarrow b}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } x t) v \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{try1 } b_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{try } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow b} \qquad \frac{}{\text{try1 } v t \Downarrow v} \qquad \frac{\text{app } t v \Downarrow b}{\text{try1 } (\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{t \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{raise1 } b_1 \Downarrow b}{\text{raise } t \Downarrow b} \qquad \frac{}{\text{raise1 } v \Downarrow \text{exn } v} \qquad \frac{}{\text{raise1 } (\text{exn } v) \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

# Adding divergence

Outcome of an evaluation: termination or divergence

$$o := \text{ter } b \mid \text{div}$$

New grammar of intermediate terms

$$e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } o t \mid \text{app2 } v o \mid \text{raise1 } o \mid \text{try1 } o t$$

Evaluation rules

$$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{app1 } o_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{app1 div } t \Downarrow \text{div}}$$

# The abort predicate

We want to factorize pairs of similar rules, such as:

$$\frac{}{\text{app1}(\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow (\text{exn } v)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{app1 div } t \Downarrow \text{div}}$$

# The abort predicate

We want to factorize pairs of similar rules, such as:

$$\frac{}{\text{app1}(\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow (\text{exn } v)} \qquad \frac{}{\text{app1 div } t \Downarrow \text{div}}$$

Solution:

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app1 } o t \Downarrow o}$$

where “abort”, defined below, characterizes exceptions and divergence.

$$\frac{}{\text{abort}(\text{exn } v)} \qquad \frac{}{\text{abort div}}$$

# Summary: grammars and judgments

Grammars:

$$b := \text{ret } v \mid \text{exn } v$$
$$o := \text{ter } b \mid \text{div}$$
$$e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } o t \mid \text{app2 } v o \mid \text{raise1 } o \mid \text{try1 } o t$$

Judgments:

$$\text{abort } o$$
$$e \Downarrow o$$
$$e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o$$



# Summary: grammars and judgments

Grammars:

$$b := \text{ret } v \mid \text{exn } v$$
$$o := \text{ter } b \mid \text{div}$$
$$e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } o t \mid \text{app2 } v o \mid \text{raise1 } o \mid \text{try1 } o t$$

Judgments:

$$\text{abort } o$$
$$e \Downarrow o$$
$$e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o$$

Theorem (equivalence with big-step)

$$t \Downarrow \text{ter } b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t \Rightarrow b$$
$$t \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{div} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t \Rightarrow^{\infty}$$

## Summary: rules

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \qquad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{app1 } o_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app1 } o t \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow o_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 o_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app2 } v o \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Downarrow o}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } x t) v \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{t \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{raise1 } o_1 \Downarrow o}{\text{raise } t \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{raise1 } o \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{raise1 } v \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{try1 } o_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{try } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{try1 } v t \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\text{app } t v \Downarrow o}{\text{try1 } (\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{try1 div } t \Downarrow \text{div}}$$

## Extension with traces

# Definition of traces

A trace records I/O interactions and  $\epsilon$ -transitions.

A terminating program has a finite trace.

A diverging program has an infinite trace.

$$\alpha := \epsilon \mid \text{in } n \mid \text{out } n$$

$$\tau := \text{list } \alpha$$

$$\sigma := \text{stream } \alpha$$

$$o := \text{ter } \tau b \mid \text{div } \sigma$$

# Definition of traces

A trace records I/O interactions and  $\epsilon$ -transitions.

A terminating program has a finite trace.

A diverging program has an infinite trace.

$$\alpha := \epsilon \mid \text{in } n \mid \text{out } n$$

$$\tau := \text{list } \alpha$$

$$\sigma := \text{stream } \alpha$$

$$o := \text{ter } \tau b \mid \text{div } \sigma$$

→ We are not using possibly-infinite traces (coinductive lists) like Nakata and Uustalu (2009) and Danielsson (2012).

# Operation on traces

Concatenation of a finite trace  $\tau$  to the front

$$\tau \cdot \tau' \qquad \tau \cdot \sigma \qquad \tau \cdot o$$

Equivalence of two traces up to finite consecutive insertions of  $\epsilon$ -transitions

$$\frac{o \approx o'}{\epsilon^n \cdot [\alpha] \cdot o \approx \epsilon^m \cdot [\alpha] \cdot o'}$$

# Trace semantics in pretty-big-step

Evaluation rules for expressions include  $\epsilon$ -transitions to ensure *productivity*.

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow \text{ter}[\epsilon] v} \quad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{app1 } o_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o} \quad \frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app1 } o t \Downarrow o}$$
$$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow o_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 o_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app1 } (\text{ter } \tau v_1) t_2 \Downarrow \tau \cdot o} \quad \frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app2 } v o \Downarrow o}$$
$$\frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Downarrow o}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } x t) (\text{ter } \tau v) \Downarrow \tau \cdot o}$$

# Trace semantics in pretty-big-step, cont.

I/O operations are recorded in the trace.

$$\frac{t \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{write1 } o_1 \Downarrow o}{\text{write } t \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{write1 } (\text{ter } \tau n) \Downarrow \text{ter } \tau \cdot [\text{out } n] tt}$$

$$\frac{t \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{read1 } o_1 \Downarrow o}{\text{read } t \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{read1 } (\text{ter } \tau tt) \Downarrow \text{ter } \tau \cdot [\text{in } n] n}$$



# Benefits of trace semantics

Theorem (finite traces can only be produced by finite derivations)

$$e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{ter} \tau v \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad e \Downarrow \text{ter} \tau v$$

# Benefits of trace semantics

Theorem (finite traces can only be produced by finite derivations)

$$e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{ter} \tau v \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad e \Downarrow \text{ter} \tau v$$

So, we do not need the inductive judgment: the coinductive one suffices.

Theorem (equivalence with big-step)

$$t \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{ter} \tau b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t \Rightarrow b / \tau$$

$$t \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{div} \sigma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t \Rightarrow^{\infty} / \sigma$$

# Proofs with trace semantics: problems

A typical simulation theorem

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o \quad \rightarrow \quad \exists o'. \quad o' \approx o \quad \wedge \quad e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o'$$

# Proofs with trace semantics: problems

A typical simulation theorem

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o \quad \rightarrow \quad \exists o'. \quad o' \approx o \quad \wedge \quad e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o'$$

Coinductive proof? No luck!

- 1  $\exists$  is not coinductive
- 2  $\wedge$  is not coinductive
- 3  $o'$  is not coinductive

→ Yet, coinductive reasoning is morally correct.

# Proofs with trace semantics: an idea

Prove a *full-coverage* lemma:

$$\forall e. \exists o. e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o$$

Reformulate the simulation theorem:

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o \wedge e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o' \rightarrow o \approx o'$$

→ This should generalize to the case of a fixed stream of input actions.

## Pretty-big-step: scaling up

# Scaling up to real languages

What's next:

- the generic abort rule
- semantics of side-effects
- semantics of loops
- application to core-Caml
- application to JavaScript

# Abort rules

Many similar abort rules: can we factorize them?

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app1 } o t \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app2 } v o \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{raise1 } o \Downarrow o}$$



# The generic abort rule

The auxiliary function “getout”

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{getout (app1 } o t) & \equiv & \text{Some } o \\ \text{getout (app2 } v o) & \equiv & \text{Some } o \\ \text{getout (raise1 } o) & \equiv & \text{Some } o \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{lcl} \text{getout (trm } t) & \equiv & \text{None} \\ \text{getout (try1 } o t) & \equiv & \text{None} \end{array}$$

The generic abort rule, which replaces the rules from the previous slide

$$\frac{\text{getout } e = \text{Some } o \quad \text{abort } o}{e \Downarrow o}$$

## Side-effects

Generalization of terminating outcomes to carry a memory store:

$$o := \text{term } b \mid \text{div}$$

Evaluation judgment in the form  $e /_m \Downarrow o$ . Example rules:

$$\frac{t_1 /_m \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{app1 } o_1 t_2 /_m \Downarrow o}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 /_m \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{t_2 /_{m'} \Downarrow o_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 o_2 /_{m'} \Downarrow o}{\text{app1 } (\text{term } v_1) t_2 /_m \Downarrow o}$$

## Pretty-big-step semantics for loops

A single intermediate term “for  $i$   $o$   $t_1$   $t_2$   $t_3$ ”, where  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Evaluation rules, with the judgment  $e /_m \Downarrow o$ .

$$\frac{t_1 /_m \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{for } 1 \text{ } o_1 \text{ } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_m \Downarrow o}{\text{for } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_m \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{for } 1 \text{ (ret } m \text{ false) } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_{m'} \Downarrow \text{ret } m \text{ } tt}$$

$$\frac{t_3 /_m \Downarrow o_3 \quad \text{for } 2 \text{ } o_3 \text{ } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_m \Downarrow o}{\text{for } 1 \text{ (ret } m \text{ true) } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_{m'} \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{t_2 /_m \Downarrow o_2 \quad \text{for } 3 \text{ } o_2 \text{ } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_m \Downarrow o}{\text{for } 2 \text{ (ret } m \text{ } tt) \text{ } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_{m'} \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{for } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_m \Downarrow o}{\text{for } 3 \text{ (ret } m \text{ } tt) \text{ } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_{m'} \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{for } i \text{ } o \text{ } t_1 \text{ } t_2 \text{ } t_3 /_m \Downarrow o}$$

→ From 9 rules and 21 premises to 6 rules with 7 evaluation premises.

## Applications to core-Caml and JavaScript

# Pretty-big-step semantics for core-Caml

Formalization of core-Caml:

booleans, integers, tuples, algebraic data types, mutable records, boolean operators (lazy and, lazy or, negation), integer operators (negation, addition, subtraction, multiplication, division), comparison operator, functions, recursive functions, applications, sequences, let-bindings, conditionals (with optional *else* branch), *for* loops and *while* loops, pattern matching (with nested patterns, *as* patterns, *or* patterns, and *when* clauses), *raise* construct, *try-with* construct with pattern matching, and assertions.

	rules	premises	tokens
Big-step without divergence	71	83	1540
Big-step with divergence	113	143	2263
Pretty-big-step	70	60	1361

→ Pretty-big-step reduces the size of the definition by 40%.

→ Pretty-big-step reduces the number of premises by more than a factor 2.

# Pretty-big-step semantics for JavaScript

Formalization of JavaScript:

variable declarations, function declarations, function calls, objects, getters, setters, new, delete, access, assignment, unary and binary operators, sequence, conditional, while loop, with construct, this construct, throw, try-catch-finally, return, break, continue, type conversions, primitive functions on objects, builtin errors.

Not yet covered:

parsing, switch, arrays, for loops, library functions (e.g. for regexps).

	for terms	for meta	total
Intermediate terms	97	165	262
Reduction rules	147	258	432

Certified interpreter: 1300 lines of auxiliary definitions, 1500 lines for “run”.

Thanks!